IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3785 OF 2005.

The Dean,
Sassoon General Hospital, Pune. ... Petitioner.

V/s.

Shri Shankar V. Madankar. ... Respondent.

A.P.Vanarse, A.G.P. for the petitioner.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 21st April 2006.

P.C.:

. Heard learned A.G.P. for the petitioner.

. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent was a temporary workman and that as and when work will be available the respondent will be appointed. The submission made is misplaced. As a matter of fact, prima facie; there is breach of section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act. Compliance with the provisions of section 25F has not been demonstrated by the learned A.G.P. The learned A.G.P. has argued this case as if the impugned order directs the petitioner to make the respondent- workman permanent. As a matter of fact the said issue is not involved in this case.

. No case is made out to interfere with the impugned order. The view taken is a reasonable and possible view. Petition is, thus, dismissed in limine with no order as to costs.

*****